

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,835		10/11/2001	Hiroshi Koshiba	6920/0J924 2278	
7278	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
DARBY P. O. BOX		BY P.C.	TRIMMINGS, JOHN P		
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2133	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Comment	09/975,83	5	KOSHIBA, HIROSHI					
(Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		John P Tri		2133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Re:	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 2004</u> .								
2a)⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Application	Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
• • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB (s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the applicant's amendment dated 6/29/2004.

Claims 1-8 were amended by the applicant.

Claims 1-8 are pending in this office action.

Response to Amendment

- 1. In view of the applicant's corrections, the examiner withdraws the objection to the Drawings FIG.4 and FIG.5.
- 2. In view of the applicant's assertion that step 5B of FIG.5 is correct, contrary to the examiner's objection in the first office action, the examiner reserves the right to use this feature in a rejection of a limitation in Claim 8.
- 3. In view of the applicant's amendment to FIG.1, the examiner maintains the objection previously cited (see below under Drawings) in the first office action.
- 4. In view of the applicant's amendment to the Abstract, the examiner withdraws the objection.
- 5. The examiner maintains the objection to the Disclosure in the first office action because the applicant was non-responsive to this objection.
- 6. In view of the applicant's corrections to Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8, the examiner's rejections under 35 USC 112 second paragraph are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2133

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per Claims 1-4:

In response to applicant's argument that "producing a file use table" and "deleting pattern files in ascending order" are not taught by the reference, the examiner argues as follows: a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, the examiner maintains the original rejections under 35 USC 102(e) to Claims 1-4.

As per Claims 5-8:

In response to applicant's argument that Enoki is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention.

See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Enoki is a file management system that manages tables, and the reference resolves the particular problem of the control method of the claimed invention which the first reference Lesmeister (a semiconductor test apparatus that tests the operation of a

Art Unit: 2133

semiconductor using pattern data) fails to teach. The Enoki patent is reasonably pertinent in that it improves the data storage capabilities in the same manner claimed by the applicant. Therefore, the examiner maintains the original rejections under 35 USC 103(a) to Claims 5-8.

Drawings

8. The drawings are objected to because FIG.1 contains a "use frequency counter" beside each pattern in the Frequency Table Memory 18. This table in memory 18 should contain <u>values</u>, such as counts, and not counters, because a use frequency counter such as this is a <u>physical device</u>. Therefore, the reference should instead recite, "use frequency count".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the

Art Unit: 2133

drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. In view of the amendment to Claim 8 by the applicant, the claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim recites "said memory" two times in the last two lines of the amended claim. This limitation in indefinite because it does not point out the correct memory being acted upon (see related applicant's Claim 4). Instead of "said memory", the examiner asserts that the references instead should recite, "an executive memory", and "the executive memory", in that order.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2133

Page 6

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Trimmings whose telephone number is 703-305-0714. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on 703-305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

رسے

John P Trimmings

Examiner
Art Unit 2133



Art Unit: 2133

jpt

Page 7